



NOTICE OF INTENT TO AWARD

BID/RFP No: 17-004

DATE OPENED: 08/31/16

TITLE: Asbestos Inspection Services

NOIA POSTING DATE: 10/25/16

This form shall serve as notice of the City's intent to award a contract. The successful supplier listed here was determined to be the most advantageous for the City. Any supplier who wishes to protest this Notice of Intent must file the protest within ten (10) calendar days following the date of this notice or that right is forfeited. If the tenth day falls on a weekend or holiday, the last day of the protest period is the first working day following the tenth day. The protest must be in writing, must identify the name and address of the protester, and must include a factual summary of, and the basis for, the protest. Filing shall be considered complete when the protest is received and accepted by the Procurement office.

No recommendation is official until ten (10) days have passed since the NOIA has been posted **AND** it has been approved by the Board of Commissioners, if applicable. **The successful supplier listed is instructed not to proceed until a contract, PO or other official notice is given by the contracting officer.**

AWARD RECOMMENDATION TO APPARENT SUCCESSFUL SUPPLIER:

COMPANY NAME: Anderson Environmental

CITY, STATE: Hampton, GA

AWARD AMOUNT: \$250.00/per house

UNSUCCESSFUL SUPPLIERS:

REASON:

UNSUCCESSFUL SUPPLIERS:	REASON:
1) Abatech Svcs	Not best evaluated response
2) American Logistics	Not best evaluated response
3) ATC Assoc	Not best evaluated response
4) BAT Assoc	Not best evaluated response
5) ECS Southeast	Not best evaluated response
6) Geotechnical & Environmental	Not best evaluated response
7) GLE	Not best evaluated response
8) Life Environmental	Not best evaluated response
9) NOVA Engineering	Not best evaluated response
10) Partner Engineering	Not best evaluated response
11) Penta Engineering	Not best evaluated response
12) Regulatory Compliance	Not best evaluated response
13) Sacal Environmental	Not best evaluated response
14) Terracon	Not best evaluated response
15) TSE Environmental	Not best evaluated response
16) United Consulting	Not best evaluated response